

ESSAY

Time Allowed: 3 hrs

Max. Marks: 250

Instructions to Candidate

- Both sections are compulsory.
- Attempt an essay from each section.
- Each essay carries 125 marks.
- Write each essay in about 1000-1200 words.
- After finishing the first essay, attempt the next on a fresh Page.
- Any page left blank in the answer-book must be crossed out clearly.

60 + 64

(Examiner will pay special attention to the candidate's grasp of his/her material, its relevance to the subject chosen, and to his/ her ability to think constructively and to present his/her ideas concisely, logically and effectively).

Remarks

Name ISHAN PRATAP SINGH

Mobile No. _____

Date 04/11/2015

Signature Isha Singh

1. Invigilator Signature M.M.J

2. Invigilator Signature _____

SECTION - A

1. People are sheep. TV is the shepherd.
2. Introduction of technology at early age kills creativity
3. Social Networking: a great invention or the end of privacy?
4. Coming together is a beginning; keeping together is progress; working together is success.

SECTION - B

1. Chanakya may have been from India, but its Indians who never followed his advice
2. Cooperative federalism is the key to mitigate regional inequality and promote national unity.
3. The "temple of democracy," as Indians have long hailed their parliament, has been soiled by its own priests.
4. Make in India is the first stage; the final stage that is, made in India is very demanding.

SOCIAL NETWORKING: A GREAT INVENTION OR END OF PRIVACY

It was in October of 2014 that a 'deal' made international headlines but it was not a deal between two sovereign nations, neither it was a deal between one multilateral agency and stakeholders. It was 19 billion dollars deal between the giants of digital social networking game. The scale of deal was immense and the amount defeated the GDPs of all sub-Saharan African nations but that was not even the central point of the buzz, the central point was the amount of information these two will monopolise. Today's age is called Information age as information has immense value. Information about a person hides his/her taste, habits, hobbies and even his/her timetable. Information value increases with connectivity, if the personal data from Facebook, tweets from Twitter, employment information from LinkedIn, places visited from tripadvisor and photos from Instagram are connected, the whole history, present and future aspiration of an individual emerges. Inadvertently, the user share their life with these.

Remarks

giants without knowledge of where it all goes.

But what is a Social Network? It is simply stated, a network of individuals connected via some thread of information and communication. It is not a new concept either. Societies based on the similar interests and passions existed since time immemorial. cults of Illuminati or a society of rulers of the world are some images cultivated in urban legends and conspiracy theories. Less secretive was the concept of "Pen pal" that emerged in 1980s and goes to connect anonymous people across the globe via use of letters. But, it was the emergence of internet and Information technology that changed the scale of the phenomenon. Friendster, Orkut, facebook, twitter, instagram became household names. "Trending", "selfie" and "viral" became common parlance. Even a new form of language emerged to chat on these networks. Laughter was replaced by 'LOL' and the expression by smileys. Today more than 300 million users exist on facebook which makes it the third largest country in the world, population wise.

Good
Introducⁿtion

Remarks

Not only the scale has been enormous, the impact has been enormous too and some of them are very positive. As an open platform, it has given new channels to connect and foster innovation. Mygov.in is one such portal which connects people from across India and makes them participate in the developmental process. It also keeps people in touch, the joy of finding old school friend on facebook or finding a cool new restaurant on Zomato is beyond description. It flattens hierarchy. The prime minister connects through various social media and even uses it for diplomacy. The External Affairs minister has used it to hear 100s calls from Indians abroad and help them. Major actors, celebrity, intellectuals are on social networking sites. Moreover, they don't only connect individuals to individuals but institutions to people. LinkedIn gives several eligible employees better jobs and several companies perfect staff. Many startups and new businesses emerge from this characteristic. But the biggest value of social networks is its openness and lack of restriction.

Remarks

It was the same openness which made small Jasmine revolution at Arab Spring and Nubhaya protests a nationwide movement. The non hierarchical nature of these networks make them an hotbed of democratic expression, mass mobilisation and quest for betterment.

But, this openness as the biggest virtue becomes its biggest vice. These social networks exist as monopoly over information. The threat to security of this information comes in two broad categories. One is inadvertentistic in which user does not declare information by choice.

Recent cases of hacking of Snapchat accounts and putting data online is a case in point. The safety standards

are non transparent and depend on goodwill of App owner. Another such challenge exists when photoshopped pictures or pornographic videos are put online as form

of revenge. Cyber bullying has lead to suicide of youngsters. Another form of privacy challenge is the

voluntaristic one. Cyber stalking only sees the info that has been put voluntarily by the user.

Loss of that sphere of inviolability of personal sphere.

Remarks

exists. Pictures are clicked arbitrarily and goes viral over the internet. Apart from challenges to direct privacy, there are issues of social loss. Paradoxically, the forces that make world a smaller place ~~can~~ increase the distance between humans emotionally. Face to face interactions are less and hangsouts and chats galore.

Though it may be argued that violation of privacy may happen from government source too, but social networks are different. Internet information database is like quicksand. You put information and it is duplicated and spread forever. The decentralized version of this info ensures that no form of censorship works effectively. For example take the case of 'right to be forgotten' recently espoused by EU court asking google to delete search info of an individual who had taken loan and defaulted several years back. Now this case became viral on internet and the google search of this individual shows nothing but information of the loan and default! In long run individuals lose feeling of self and lack of privacy leads to them attempting

Remarks

to impress wider public. Anorexia or eating disorders, Commoditification of body on internet is case in point and ironically and perhaps interestingly, it done most of time by consent. The human desire of being noted trumps the quest for private space.

This voluntaristic nature is also one of the major challenges to regulation of these networks. Citizens see it as an encroachment on their rights to free expression and given that there is genuine danger of state excesses in citizenship. The scale is also enormous, the internet is fragmented yet available to all for seeing. There are no overarching regulation and not only national borders but borders of technology also makes it difficult to regulate this space. Developed countries have requisite expertise and surveillance networks yet the so called "jihadists" inspired by ISIS propaganda on social networks increase every day.

But presence of challenges does not mean that the task for open, transparent and reasonable regulation must

Remarks

be given up. Debates on net neutrality has shown that such a discussion cannot be intergovernmental but needs to be accommodative of netizens as stakeholders.

A basic framework of international standards with all stakeholders on board is necessary. Countries need to rise above ideological stances while giving leeway to sovereign control of nations over cyberspace. The sharing of expertise, cyber force and basic off rules of game including standards of data protection, security with allocation of responsibility in case of default and proper monitoring is necessary.

But, ultimately it is for the netizens to realize that rights exist and can be only exercised in a culture of rights where citizens protect each other's right. Awareness

and respect for individuality must be character of every individual. Internet is also a social mirror, its openness and unregulated access shows an individual; his/her real self. If netizens want an open regulation free space for articulation without governments acting as

Remarks

big brother, they must deserve it. Reporting of misuse and end to cyber stalking and bullying can only happen when these activities become a taboo in cyber reality as it is in real life as well. Social Network are an oasis of opportunity and it is reflective of the maturity of actors who use it. They can be transformative if used responsibly and can expand horizons of participation and democratic expression. After all unlike the dictatorships of sub-Saharan Africa, the 'network' of Facebook is so open that the biggest criticism against their xenocidal plan and pro net neutrality came from their own network making them bow and take concience of the wishes of its members.

Good essay
but you need
to include
benefits of
Social networking
in more details
in all sector
for example -
ITC

60

Remarks

e-Choupal by
mygov.in

& many
more

The "Temple of Democracy" at Indians have long hailed their parliament has been Soiled by their own priests.

After the recent lok sabha polls as media was in a frenzy because after 30 years a single party majority has been taken in the lok sabha, one of the most shown incident was bowing of Prime Minister outside the house of parliament. This was not only suggestive but also symbolic of the place of parliament in Indian democracy. It acts as the melting point of I deal, a platform for negotiations and a channel of control on executive. In fact it wont be an exaggeration to claim that a functional and representative house of people both in letter and in spirit separates the depute from the democratically elected and thus, it is expected of the house to be functional, expressive and showcase the highest ideals of probity. Its debate must be opinions of the various segments and the replies of executive must be reply to the masses in general. But recently the parliament has failed to live upto its expectation endangering its biggest treasure of public faith.

Remarks

reposed in its members.

Indian parliament has earned the title of "temple" by glorious traditions of freedom of expression and negotiations.

not based on majority or minority but on the merit of the argument. First constituent assembly acting also as

the parliament showed great example. Members voted for decreasing their own salary reflective of the economic

tumail that India was going through. The complex task of framing the constitution was done on time and all

voices were properly heard even those of unselected representatives of princely states. Later many stalwarts

gave historic speeches in the premise of the house whether it's Nehru's speech on the deliberation of poverty

line or Pandit Nehru speech on the virtues of the planning

both sides of aisle were having shining stars which

not only went in the golden annals of Indian history

but set an example for parliamentary traditions worldwide.

Remarks

This created the legend of Parliament being "Temple of democracy". A temple is space of neutrality and in democracy, the biggest authority is public opinion. Parliament being institution of same is reflective of that. Temple is also the place where wishes are granted. In a democracy, it is the executive which demands wishes and Parliament has the power to approve or disapprove its government. Cannot function without budgets, regulations and ratifications which are prerogative of Parliament. Parliament is also a space where opposition not only exists but is institutionalised. Their views are taken into consideration and political minorities also are given voice. But, most importantly parliament is room for negotiation. From the public policy to foreign policy, it ensures that even marginal voices are counted in decision making and maximum efficient decisions are taken. To facilitate this, parliament constitutionally is space for unrestricted freedom of expression and anything can be said on the floor of house or in conformance with rules of the house.

Remarks

It is this basic freedom of expression that is often misused by members. When the act containing salary of Members of Parliament was amended 28 times^(from 1950), increasing it and the debate on important bills is hijacked, it not only leads to loss to public exchequer, it also erodes their faith and justify claims of autocratic and successionist forces. The house is sometimes not playing the representative of various interests, rather it seems like an institution divided on caste, creed, religion and region. While the ruling party does not extend hands of accommodation, the opposition hijack the house for myopic ends often creating a negative culture of reciprocal vendetta often recurring in successive government tenure. Often it takes an ugly turn when all boundaries of parliamentary etiquettes are broken, house property defaced, papers thrown on the Speaker, and sometimes even the pepper spray comes out. This hoogahism in the premises of the house not only erodes public image but also creates a mockery.

Remarks

of Indian democracy abroad. Sometimes the boundaries of probity are broken. Houseitting irrespective of anti defection act and the showing of money in the house is a memory which is quite lived in Indian masses.

Why is that so? One of the major reason is criminalisation of politics and muscle-money - primordial identity nexus

leading to undeserving candidates getting in the house. Lack of house discipline and political image and commitment of the speaker who has the responsibility of being sovereign and fair in the premises and proceedings of the house.

Lack of coordination between ruling and non ruling party and use of hook and crook to stall government and make it difficult to take their goals forward.

The house is held as hostage as egos clash between the ruling party and opposition and the net loser is public at large.

But saying that parliament as an entity is not useful

or has done nothing appreciable will be an exaggeration.

First of all, a dysfunctional parliament is more democratic

Remarks

Link these two:
 Now increasing criminalization
 increase disruption.
 As those who can't
 win debates, fight in other ways

than no parliament at all. This right to be represented has been earned by great sacrifice made by our political leaders and freedom fighters and must be appreciated. As the recent National Judicial Appointment Commission showed, the house is capable of getting a consensus. In fact in matter of national sovereignty and integrity house has generally stood together. Whether it is 1972 war or Kargil war, condemnation of terror attacks or stand against secessionists, house has risen above party lines to give a joint voice to the agenda. Transformational acts like Right to Education Act and Right to Information Act have also emerged from the same process of parliamentary negotiation. It has given voice to marginalised and recent passing of private member bill on rights and welfare of transgenders is illustrative of this fact.

Thus, Parliamentary representation needs to be reformed and not given up on. Democracy is quest for greater

Remarks

excellence at every stage and Parliament is an irrevocable part of the process. Election Commission

has done a lot of reforms to cure lack of good representation
Yet a lot could be done. State funding of elections,

better security arrangements and banning of

Candidates with bad credentials to contest is one way including banning of chagedratted perpetrators

of heinous crime. Speaker must create a culture of resigning from his party as exists in English house of

Commons. He/She must look to be fair to discipline

the house. Once he/she forbids his/her political

credentials, the use of tuff hands becomes easier.

without bias. But, there is only upto a certain level

that restrictions can go. House will have to

develop healthy customs and conventions to create

a culture of enlightened membership.

In the introduction, the bowing of prime minister was

called suggestive because of a very deep inherent reason

and it is where lies the solution of all malaise. Parliament

Remarks

is not only a body but it also reflects our choices as citizens and maturity of democratic participation. It is like a looking glass through which electorate not only measures the performance of representatives but also its own. Gandhiji stated that swaraj cannot be given, it is earned, thus an important part of swaraj is vote over self. Giving votes on basis of caste, race, religion and ~~parties~~ not policies sends representatives which takes their own election to be granted. They lack agenda and articulate power. Thus, the other bullet is reforming parliamentary culture is citizen enlightenment and realisation that it is our duty with destiny that continues and if we reform ourselves and vote wisely, parliament being creation of us will follow.

Well written

Missed one dimension
missed on

64

Judicial activism, how fall in parliament's credibility allows scope for judiciary to distract balance of power

Remarks

When this credibility falls even lower, even Army gets opportunity for a Coup, as happened in African Countries.

Oppositional federalism

The "temple of democracy" as Indians have long failed their Parliament has been ruled by their own priest.

Intro (1)

PM bows before parliament
"bows before collective opinion
of Indian masses"

Conclusion

Reflective of our capacity

(8)

- Debate over own salary passed by voice vote
- Divisions of caste / Pepper Spray + unparliamentary language.
- No Discussion over Dr. B.R. Ambedkar's language.
- Opposition/critical post/bill end
- Horseshoeing

good thing.

Even of democracy

Violent

context

No common

more

Not all bad

- Great battles
- Voice to marginalized
- Great traditions

No Dr. B.R.Ambedkar

Why Temple + Space of Reservation

4 Where you ask

3 highest / for workers

place. Melting pot of ideas

2 giving space for

to opposition unrestricted

freedom of speech

Remarks

History (great tradition)

highest standards

Respectability

4. easily done

SOCIAL NETWORKS: A Great Invention or kind of Privacy

→ demand better **GS SCORE**

Can be
Final mark

Conclusion → it depends

(Paradoxical) → what makes ^{called} ¹⁵⁰⁰⁺ ^{the world} Net neutrality
we provide smaller

case we have also increased:
Right in maintaining the distance between
culture of humans report
rights concentration almost like
citizen awareness.
where we respect each other
smile outside the world.

Intro.

Facebook WhatsApp deal

16 Billion Dollars > Any country's
GDP other than

Advanced Technology/Globalisation

Connectivity → brings forces that
make nations look smaller but
alone individuals (but human
rights are bigger)
both

④
• Monopoly → combined
• huge amount of information

• Once put → like a quicksand → Never retrieved
You are not what you are → You are what google defines

• Cyber bullying + Pornography + Stalking

• Social Laws → Nobody can hide

• Digital Divide → New form of Exclusion

why a great invention

→ Open platform → Net Neutrality
keeps people. Innovation

foster innovation in touch

LinkedIn creates job

Li Mygov.in

→ voice of Democracy

Jasmine Revolution → Arab Spring

⑤
⑥ Need for Regulation

Difficulty → Extent
Citizens protest

→ Openess

→ Expensive

⑦ Way out

Cyber law gall. cooperation

→ Rules of the game.

Remarks

⑧ What is Social Networks
History